

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | D. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/615,362 | | 07/08/2003 | Hee-Sook Park | 5649-1055 | 8781 |
| 20792 | 7590 | 02/16/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC | | | | TSAI, H JEY | |
| PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| randardii, ite 27027 | | 27027 | | 2812 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | · _ |
|-----------------|--------------|-----|
| 10/615,362 | PARK ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| H.Jey Tsai | 2812 | • |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires ___ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPÉP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal ___. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ___ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7.
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)
will not be entered, or b)
will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 14-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1), 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____. H.Jey Tsai **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 2812

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: "Unitary plug" in claim 23 is not found in the orginal claims and the specification, hence, it is a new matter. There is not seen "unitary plug" in the drawings either. Drawings merely disclose a conductive layer 215 filling the contact hole 203. There is no unitary plug formation as an undivided or whole plug in the drawings as argued by applicant. "Unitary" may be defined as undivide or whole in the dictionary, but dictionary does not teach forming a plug. Hence, it is impermissible to import the meaning of dictionary into the claimed invention. Blair clearly teaches at col. 5, lines 50-67 and fig. 8, forming a cobalt silicide layer 36 at the bottom of the contact hole 28 while forming a CVD titanium nitride (TiN) layer 326 directly on the cobalt layer 324 and the metal silicide interface layer reaction conditions, such as temperature and gaseous ambient are selected and forming a unitary plug 324/326/338. Wiecaorek also clearly teaches at col. 5, lines 32+ and fig. 2C and fig. 2D, forming a cobalt silicide layer 109 at the bottom of the contact hole 102 while forming a titanium nitride layer 115 directly on the cobalt layer 115, forming a unitary plug 1 17 on the titanium nitride layer 1 15 so as to fill the contact hole. And, Sumi also teaches at col. 4, lines 48+, forming a cobalt silicide layer (Co silicide) at the bottom of the contact hole while forming (filling the contact hole) a unitary plug on the cobalt layer.